

Occupational Health and Workplace Safety: Contemporary Approaches, Emerging Challenges and Evolving Trends

Yeganov O., Yevdokymova N.

Pylyp Orlyk International Classical University

This paper integrates recent research findings to understand why effective occupational health and safety practice at present involves synthesizing systems thinking and its managerial approaches with psychosocial approaches to risk governance and participative practice. This research question is a problem to be researched because technical control or maturity is often outstripped in incident rates because of a failure in compliance, communication, and climate that often follows a lag in design. By drawing upon research summaries, bibliographic studies, and also research findings in sectors that have been published between 2020 & 2024, this research will present a discussion of how an effective system of occupational health and safety will derive a positive integration of risk assessment and its prevention in occupational practice and performance by also considering credible leadership and a positive psychosocial safety climate that allows psychosocial safeguards that prevent greater injury as a basic aspect of real action and performance that derives a positive effect based upon credible participative approaches in occupational health and safety. In relationship to PPE use or its performance, a related determinant is related to a positive effect of staff performance in terms of necessity, as well as credible support from managerial approaches that derive a positive effect based upon overall user-friendliness. Also, related findings that indicate an effective positive aspect of decreased injury through open two-way communications is also part of a discussion and analysis of research findings that derive a positive aspect of performance as a basic aspect of research findings that have been published between 2020 & 2024 that indicate the current need as an

inflexion point in history because of crisis responses that emphasized fluency in work hours through flexible policies that involved an expansion in mental health that derived a new aspect of performance as a basic understanding of inherent occupationally known needs that have been related in terms of an inherent need that has been related in a positive aspect of performance that has been determined in history as a current research need that derives a positive aspect of performance based upon a study of inherent needs that have been related in a research need that has been published because of inherent psychosocial known needs that have been developed in research findings that have been determined as a research need that derives an inherent understanding related in terms of inherent known needs that have been related in a study of inherent known needs that derive an inherent need based upon research findings related to inherent known needs that have been developed within a study of inherent research needs that have been published because of inherent needs that derive an inherent need based upon a study of inherent research needs that have been related in terms of inherent known needs that have been designed as a research need that derive an inherent need based upon a research study that has been related in terms of inherent psychosocial known needs that have been related in terms of inherent need that derive an inherent need based upon a research study of inherent research needs that have been

Keywords: occupational health and safety; workplace safety; safety culture; psychosocial safety climate; personal protective equipment; worker participation; risk communication; COVID-19; regulatory enforcement; systems management.

Introduction

The challenge in this instance is not whether organisations have standards, procedures, and PPE—which is likely in most organizations—the challenge is why incidents continue to occur in spite of such maturity of technical control in an organisation. Rather than answering that question through systems of governance,

Corley's work is positioned in an increasingly different paradigm that focuses upon how systems of leadership and psychosocial work activity influence policy in terms of its effectiveness in a real-world setting. Recent academic thinking has transformed occupational health and safety (OHS) theory and practice through a new paradigm that focuses upon leadership credibility, psychosocial work safety climate, qualities of communication, and a human-centered systems perspective to mitigate an organisation's formal control measures. This short article integrates recent research in terms of technical control systems, psychosocial work risks, protective work behaviour, participation in psychosocial work safety measures, regulatory integration, and applied sectors, concluding that a better outcome in terms of occupational health and safety is possible when cognitive, motivational, and institutional measures are integrated as opposed to remaining as discrete approaches (Wang, Chen, Liu, Yang, & Long, 2020; Lee et al., 2020; Amoadu, Ansah, & Sarfo, 2023).

Discussion

First is related to improving learning-oriented rather than compliant safety programs through evolving approaches to OHS management systems. Bibliographic mapping analysis depicts that studies have expanded from lineal approaches to causations of accidents to knowledge-sharing approaches, organisational culture, and continuous improvement initiatives, though durable results in risk assessment and preventive measures are attainable only when they are ingrained in organisational routines and accompanied by a commitment to signals of priority and learning from weak signals (Wang et al., 2020 & Fan et al., 2020). Research studies evaluating learning-oriented and structured approaches to identifying organisational OHS systems

ponder that while certified programs and organisational routines decrease variability in routine activities, they might leave psychosocial risks unattended unless organisational routines include psychosocial elements in hazard registers and system reviews (Dahler-Larsen, Sundby, & Boodhoo, 2020). This leads innovatively to the question of undertaking improvements in existing systems as to why better-performing organisations have an edge when systems are in place.

Psychosocial safety climate and psychological safety have shifted from the sidelines to a more central focus of evidence-informed OHS. An increasing body of synthesis suggests that psychosocially supportive climates of trust, respect, and credible care for well-being are linked to lower stress, higher engagement, enhanced safety citizenship, and, in the end, positive health results (Amoadu et al., 2023). On a similar tack, systematized and bibliometric analyses together pinpoint how empowerment through voice is crucial for identifying threats in advance, inclusiveness is key to knowledge sharing, and feelings of SPS in particular stave off job strain, thereby supporting attention-control and error management in demanding work (Dong, Li, & Hernan, 2024). Psychosocial mechanisms continue to be no additive factor to, but rather a prerequisite for, engineering output.

Personal protective equipment is a necessity that is never irrelevant, but its use is appallingly sensitive to behavioral and context-related determinants. Research in the construction and related industries indicates that simultaneously considering training efficacy, comfort, availability, and managerial modeling enables or constrains individual use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in a particular manner, especially in non-standard activities performed in a hurry (Wong, Man, & Chan, 2020; Singh & Misra, 2020). A crucial new

element is introduced through preliminary evidence in a scoping study of a range of PPE—such as respiratory or hearing protection gear—indicating that while its use is overall indispensable, a paradoxical element in its application is that its broad use is a factor in particular industries contributing to worker mental problems, again implicitly emphasizing a balance of technical protection and workload and communicative approaches that exclude PPE as a catch-all or panacea (Leung, Cook, Capra, & Johnstone, 2022).

Communication & participation link all of these findings together. A synthesis across organisational, cultural & individual approaches highlights that communicative approaches, joint problem-solving, & participative decision-making are universally related to positive adherence & lower injury (Lee et al., 2020). Where communicative approaches are prominently featured within an organisation—such as clear talk, feedback & speaking-up opportunity structures—employees are more likely to embrace standards & make astute, rather than avoidance-oriented, changes to procedure (Narayan & Nair, 2021). Research in manufacturing sectors & related sectors across organisational performance agrees that when investment in & around occupational health & safety approaches is balanced by investment in communicative & participative approaches, a full stake is taken in performance improvement & organisational safety climate rather than a limited one with merely adequate investment in occupational health & safety approaches specifically (Dunmade, Kadiri, Akindele, & Ishola, 2020; Svendsen, Schmidt, Holtermann, & Rasmussen, 2020).

Joint study in electrical sectors again finds that a combination of organisational safety climate & individual variables is more potent in predicting & explaining accident

than either factor independently (Baby, Madhu, & Renjith, 2021).

There have also been reappraisals in strategic approaches to regulatory activities, such as a new interest in transparency and deterrence. From economics, research evidence indicates that making public visible offenses against safety and health regulations is capable of influencing organisational conduct through its effect upon reputation, in addition to traditional methods of inspection and punishment (Regulation by Shaming, 2020). Studies that concentrate upon particular sectors, including mental health units, indicate that organisational regulators need to take cognisance of their context. This is because in complex areas of duty of care, it is often necessary to establish standards that balance employees' safety as well as citizens' rights, and administer them in a manner that prevents unintended motivational problems (Appelbaum, 2023). In a general sense, control approaches pertaining to workplace danger remain pertinent but have been supplemented through a clear linkage of organisational control with easy-to-operate systems of reporting and credible enforcement (Gately & Powys, 2020; Guidotti & Ivanov, 2021).

The COVID-19 pandemic has been a turning point, testing crisis preparedness skills related to mental health and flexible work arrangements. Research has highlighted that those organisations that balanced protective measures with real engagement and care sustained organisational citizenship behaviour in conditions of job insecurity, while those that depended merely upon rule-setting have seen rule-breaking behaviour decline (Vu, Vo-Thanh, Nguyen, Van Nguyen, & Chi, 2021). Moreover, it catalysed the expansion of flexible and remote work arrangements that shifted occupational risks in various ways from workplace to homework and introduced new challenges in work activities that have been

inadequately attended to within traditional OHS approaches. Studies of psychosocial safety climate in conditions of and after a pandemic have reinforced a clear aim: it is necessary to couple risk control with digital work stream considerations of autonomy, fairness, and inclusivity in order to maintain credibility in a broad safety strategy (Dong et al., 2024; Dahler-Larsen et al., 2020).

Sector-specific approaches add depth to this broad understanding. In the petroleum and gas sectors, for instance, emotional intelligence is seen as an intermediary in terms of influencing safer conduct, implying a possible path to improving superiors' diverse capabilities that entail task accomplishment as well as climate (Edmund, Suxia, Ebenezer, & Kachie, 2023). In healthcare and high-touch sectors, based on a combination of risks, skills in quality communication and de-escalation are as important as physical measures, reiterating arguments in favor of holistic learning that integrates technical methods with behavioral skills (Appelbaum, 2023; Guidotti & Ivanov, 2021). This implies that “what works” is often particular in its form but universal in its reasoning—align all related objectives, resources, standards, and inputs so that safer behavior is socially reinforced and procedurally facilitated.

Looking ahead, three areas of priority emerge from research. First, psychosocial risk needs to be fully integrated into overall health and safety management systems, and not become a rolling survey headline as it was in 2020. This means creating leading indicators, improving managerial capabilities in interpreting climate data and investing in improvements alongside, just as one would with new machinery guarding or ventilation systems (Amoadu et al., 2023; Dong et al., 2024). Second, Design Thinking needs to become business as usual across PPE, work procedures, and digital platforms. By involving workers in designing and testing,

higher take-up and less need for workarounds will be achieved (Wong et al., 2020; Leung et al., 2022). Finally, enforcement needs to be paired with behavioral and conversational approaches designed to meet immediate needs. Transparency of offenders in public view may sharpen incentives, while sustained behavioral improvement in teams or projects is best supported through conversational infrastructure such as project briefings and learning reviews that turn signals into shared understanding and action (Regulation by Shaming, 2020; Gately & Powys, 2020). In all of this, equity issues will remain a reality test of seriousness—safety improvements need to include precarious, subcontracted, and remote workers whose exposure patterns, and decision contexts when choosing PPE or protective measures, will continue to differ from those of permanent employees (Vu et al., 2021; Guidotti & Ivanov, 2021).

Conclusion

In sum, occupational health and safety come to require an enabling strategy in which managerial systems, psychosocial conditions, user-focused design, participation, and smart regulation all work together. Once credible expectations are in place through leadership, when workers have a sense of psychosocial security and participation, and when controls are designed with work in reality in mind, “the infamous gap between knowledge of what is safe and doing safely what is known to be so” shrinks. This is a small and ambitious programme in action that will take control of its “culture” as a variable, that will use “communication as a risk control,” and that will conceive of its “workers” as co-designers of safety rather than objects of it. That, and not a new catchword, is “prevention as routine.”

References

Appelbaum, P. (2023). Regulating workplace safety in psychiatric facilities. *Psychiatric Services*, Advance

online

publication. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.20230036>

Amoadu, M., Ansah, E., & Sarfo, J. (2023). Influence of psychosocial safety climate on occupational health and safety: A scoping review. *BMC Public Health*, 23, Article 16246. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16246-x>

Baby, T., Madhu, G., & Renjith, V. (2021). Occupational electrical accidents: Assessing the role of personal and safety climate factors. *Safety Science*, 140, 105229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105229>

Dahler-Larsen, P., Sundby, A., & Boodhoo, A. (2020). Can occupational health and safety management systems address psychosocial risk factors? An empirical study. *Safety Science*, 130, 104878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104878>

Dong, R., Li, X., & Hernan, '. (2024). Psychological safety and psychosocial safety climate in workplace: A bibliometric analysis and systematic review towards a research agenda. *Journal of Safety Research*, 91, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2024.08.001>

Dunmade, E., Kadiri, I., Akindele, I., & Ishola, A. (2020). Evaluating the impact of occupational health and safety measures on work performance in KAM Wire Industry, Ilorin. *International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology*, 6(4).

Edmund, N., Suxia, L., Ebenezer, L., & Kachie, A. (2023). Emotional intelligence as a conduit for improved occupational health safety environment in the oil and gas sector. *Scientific Reports*, 13, 20765. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-46886-3>

Eraslan, E., & Cansaran, C. (2020). İş sağlığı ve güvenliği algısının değerlendirilmesi. *Bilecik Şeyh Edebali*

Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 4, 357–368.

Fan, D., Zhu, C., Timming, A., Su, Y., Huang, X., & Lu, Y. (2020). Using the past to map out the future of occupational health and safety research: Where do we go from here? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(1), 90–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1657167>

Gately, G., & Powys, W. (2020). Control strategies for workplace health hazards. In *Occupational Health and Wellbeing* (pp. 83–101). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003116820-4>

Guidotti, T., & Ivanov, I. (2021). Global occupational health: Burden, strategies, and challenges. In *Encyclopedia of Environmental Health* (pp. 1–36). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05325-3_98-1

Lee, E., Zheng, H., Aung, H., Seidmann, V., Li, C., Aroor, M., Lwin, M., Ho, S., & Theng, Y. (2020). Examining organisational, cultural, and individual-level factors related to workplace safety and health: A systematic review and metric analysis. *Health Communication*, 36(5), 529–539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2020.1731913>

Leung, R., Cook, M., Capra, M., & Johnstone, K. (2022). The contribution of respiratory and hearing protection use to psychological distress in the workplace: A scoping review. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 95, 1647–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-022-01863-7>

Narayan, R., & Nair, V. (2021). The roles of communicative language mechanisms in occupational health and safety milieu in reducing workplace hazards. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 12(2), 264–274. <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1202.07>

Regulation by Shaming. (2020). Deterrence effects of publicising violations of workplace safety and health laws. *American Economic Review*, 110(12), 3813–

3851. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20180501>

Singh, A., & Misra, S. (2020). A dominance-based rough set analysis for investigating employee perception of safety at workplace and safety compliance. *Safety Science*, 127, 104702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104702>

Svendsen, M., Schmidt, K., Holtermann, A., & Rasmussen, C. (2020). Expert panel survey among occupational health and safety professionals in Denmark for prevention and handling of musculoskeletal disorders at workplaces. *Safety Science*, 131, 104932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104932>

Vu, T., Vo-Thanh, T., Nguyen, N., Van Nguyen, D., & Chi, H. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic: Workplace safety management practices, job insecurity, and employees' organisational citizenship behaviour. *Safety Science*, 145, 105527. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105527>

Wang, Y., Chen, H., Liu, B., Yang, M., & Long, Q. (2020). A systematic review on the research progress and evolving trends of occupational health and safety management: A bibliometric analysis of mapping knowledge domains. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 81. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00081>

Wong, T., Man, S., & Chan, A. (2020). Critical factors for the use or non-use of personal protective equipment amongst construction workers. *Safety Science*, 126, 104663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104663>